17

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Case No. 05-40104-FDS

ROBERT WHITE, PRO SE,

Petitioner;

VS.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS, THE HONORABLE HENRY J. BOROFF, Et. al

BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 03-44906-HJB.

Respondents.

# Amendment to Petitioner's Request for Certificate of Appealability

Petitioner, Robert White, Pro Se, seeks leave of this Court to amend his Request for Certificate of Appealability, which he filed on January 11, 2006. The Chapter 7 Trustee, who continues to prosecute Petitioner for contempt, recently filed a pleading in Petitioner's contempt proceeding which was not available when Petitioner filed his Request for Certificate of Appealability and which exonerates Petitioner of contempt. The Chapter 7 Trustee recently filed an Application to Employ Auctioneer in which the Trustee acknowledges that Petitioner's vehicles will not generate enough revenue to pay the Auctioneer for selling the vehicles, or pay

Petitioner's \$3,500 sanctions. The Bankruptcy Court sanctioned Petitioner \$2,500 for filing two frivolous motions and then held Petitioner in contempt and fined and incarcerated Petitioner for not paying the sanctions. The Bankruptcy Court ruled that it did not have to reach a finding that Petitioner earned enough income to pay the sanction and instead ordered Petitioner sell his 1992 Subaru and 1992 pickup truck to pay the sanctions. It is now apparent that Petitioner does not have income or property capable of satisfying the Bankruptcy Court's sanctions.

## A Reasonable Fact Finder Would Find Petitioner Innocent of Contempt

The Bankruptcy Court repeatedly denied Petitioner's request to make payments toward his sanctions and acknowledged that Petitioner may not be able to afford to pay the sanctions outright and instead ordered Petitioner to sell his vehicles. The Chapter 7 Trustee now acknowledges that selling Petitioner's vehicles will not generate enough revenue to pay the Auctioneer or pay Petitioner's sanctions. A reasonable fact finder would agree that Petitioner does not earn enough income to pay the sanctions outright and Petitioner's vehicles are not worth the sanctions. A reasonable fact finder would agree that Petitioner never has been in willful disregard of the Bankruptcy Court's order to pay the sanctions; therefore, Petitioner is not guilty of contempt.

Respectfully submitted on January 17, 2006, by

White

Robert White - Pro Se

243-A N. Maple

Manteca, CA 95336

Ph: (209) 825-6115

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Case No. 05-40104-FDS

ROBERT WHITE, PRO SE,

Petitioner;

VS.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS, THE HONORABLE HENRY J. BOROFF, Et. al

BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 03-44906-HJB,

Respondents.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 17, 2006, I caused a copy of the Notice of Appeal and Request for Certificate of Appealability to be served upon each of the parties noted on the attached Service List:

Robert White - Pro Se

243-A N. Maple Manteca, CA 95336

Ph: (209) 825-6115

## **Service List**

Michael Goldberg Cohn Whitesell & Goldberg 101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110

The Honorable Henry J. Boroff United States Bankruptcy Court 595 Main Street Worcester, MA 01608

Damian W. Wilmot Assistant U.S. Attorney Moakley Federal Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210